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Research Methodology: The contents and appointment of institute of procedural periods is opened by means of the general dialectic method of knowledge. Methods of the analysis and synthesis were used at an assessment of an actual state of observance of procedural periods on prejudicial inquiry.

Results: The author analysed a current state of observance of procedural periods on prejudicial inquiry, as guarantees of legality of criminal proceedings. The main reasons for violation of procedural periods on prejudicial inquiry were investigated. The author provided additional documents and drew a conclusion that the sense of justice of future lawyers directly depends on the higher educational institutions of world outlook views put by teachers.

Novelty: On practical examples the level of observance of each of types of procedural periods were analysed. Modern problems of observance of procedural periods on prejudicial inquiry were opened. Situation that the terms established in the criminal procedural law are guarantees of respecting the rule of law were proved.

The practical significance: The author proved practical need for observance of procedural on prejudicial inquiry. Additional arguments in favor of a conclusion about need of immediate updating of the public prosecutor’s and judicial case in Ukraine were adduced.